

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/716,734 11/18/2003		1/18/2003	Himanshu Pokharna	P17656	6781		
28062	7590	03/28/2005		EXAMINER			
BUCKLEY,	MASCH	CHERVINSKY	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO				
5 ELM STREI	ET						
NEW CANAA	AN. CT	06840	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				2835			

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/716,7	34	POKHARNA ET A	L.	(M				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit		<u> </u>				
		Boris L. C	hervinsky	2835						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status						•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 181	November 2	<u>003</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐										
3)	secution as to the	merits is	•							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims					•				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	n.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		nsideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					. •				
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					٠				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election r	equirement.		-					
Applicati	on Papers									
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.								
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		objected to by the E	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) l	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d	).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
· <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)		•				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ii pilotity uli	aci 00 0.5.0. 3 110(a)	(u) 01 (i).						
<b>,</b>	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have bee	n received.	•	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prid	ority docum	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
					•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Attachment	(s)					•••				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary			٠,				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 'No(s)/Mail Date	3)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/716,734

Art Unit: 2835

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not have a concise statement of the technical disclosure. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,734

Art Unit: 2835

4. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eesley et al. Pat. ,529 in view of Huang.

Eesley discloses the heat sink having a heat transfer portion 20, parallel fins 22 extending from the heat transfer portion 20, a porous medium 24 such as metal foam inserted between the fins 24, thermally conductive adhesive is used to attach porous medium to the fins (col. 4, lines 59-61), the porosity of the metal foam is about ninety percent (col. 5, line 57). Eesley discloses the claimed invention except that the heat sink is remote from the heat source and does not show the fan and does not specify the pore density of five pores per inch. Huang discloses the cooling arrangement for a computer system of a microprocessor of having the heat sink 60 remote from the heat source and the fan 70 provides airflow toward the remote heat sink 60. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the heat sink as disclosed by Eesley in the device disclosed by Huang since Eesley discloses the method of removing heat from the heat sink by using forced air for efficient cooling (col. 3, lines 22-30). The porous medium having 5 pores per inch density is commercially available and is disclosed in the prior art, which is not applied at this time, listed in the US PTO Form 892 attached. The method steps of claims 15-19 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Eesley. The details drawn to a battery adapter providing power for a portable computer system are known and it appears to be not proven as critical for the invention.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER kons le. Cherling 3/23/5